PREDICTION OF ELASTIC PROPERTIES OF POLYURETHANE-INFILTRATED CARBON FOAMS

SUMMARY
This paper presents micromechanical approach to assessment of elastic properties of composite polyurethane-carbon foams. Analysis is based on specific choice of RVE combined with micro-macro transition. It leads to evaluation of strength and elastic constants of a composite. Foam behaviour is investigated numerically. Solid skeleton part shape is based on the tetrahedron cut out with spheres. 3D unit cell model is FE discetized. Calculations are performed for foams of selected densities using ABAQUS system. The comparison shows good agreement between the theoretical approach and experimental data. The presented method may be applied to design novel materials such as graphitized foam and nano composites and tailoring these materials for desired elastic properties.
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NUMERYCZNE OSZACOWANIE WŁASNOŚCI SPRĘŻYSTYCH KOMPOZYTOWYCH PIAN WĘGLOWO-POLIURETANOWYCH


Słowa kluczowe: modelowanie mikromechaniczne, własności sprężyste, poliuretanowo-węglowe piany kompozytowe

1. INTRODUCTION

Carbon foams reveal unique mechanical and thermal properties, which make them an attractive material for energy absorbing or insulating structural components in advanced aircraft structures. In recent years carbon-based composites are made to achieve an advantageous combination of properties. The examples are: nanofiber reinforced carbon foam, graphitized carbon foam or foams infiltrated by polymers, metals or ceramic carbon. These materials are designed to achieve high strength. Generally, such composites reveal improved mechanical properties. Examples of such a composite, including the experimental results for infiltrated polyurethane carbon foams of various densities are described by Bunning (2003). He reports that tensile strength and Young modulus may increase tenfold for the composites compared to unfilled foam.

Production process, involving a continuous liquid phase that solidifies, and precursor type determine morphology of the foam. Films of liquid phase meet at equal angles of 120° and form a film junction called Plateau border (Fig. 1). Four plateau borders join at the tetrahedral angle of 109.47°. For open cell foams they are identified as foam ligaments.

It is necessary to introduce the material distribution along the foam ligaments in order to deliver a realistic model of carbon foam. Since foam morphology significantly influences properties on a macroscale a detailed 3D model is required instead of a simplified one given by Sihn and Roy (2004). Macroproperties of a foam depend on bulk material properties, relative density, porosity, cell size and distribution of material defining microgeometry. For example, empirical formula for effective Young modulus is as follows:

\[
\frac{E_f}{E_s} \cong C \left( \frac{\rho}{\rho_s} \right)^n.
\]

The value of \( n \) lies in the range \( 1 \leq n < 4 \).

Fig. 1. Plateau border

* Institute of Structural Mechanics, Department of Civil Engineering Cracow University of Technology Cracow, Poland

mjm@limba.wil.pl.edu.pl
yielding a wide range of properties at a given density and depends only on the material distribution in the skeleton structure.

The best known approach for investigating materials exhibiting repetitive periodic structure is based on micromechanics and is connected with the proper choice of a representative unit cell (Nemat-Nasser and Hori 1999).

A number of micromechanical models are known in the literature. The first one, based on a tetrahedral element, was adopted by Warren and Kraynik (1988); the representative tetrahedral element consists of four identical half struts meeting at equal tetrahedral angles. This choice of a micro-structural element is consistent with the topological feature of foam presented previously. The relative orientation of adjacent tetrahedral elements having a common strut is assumed to be random. The boundaries of the unit cell consist of four planes perpendicular to each strut at its midpoint and form a regular tetrahedron. This model enables us to obtain only averaged elastic constants over all possible orientations and to estimate the elastic properties of the foam. The similar idea of creating representative unit cell is presented by Janus-Michalska (2003) but for foams of low density using beam model of skeleton. Important fact is that chosen RVE exhibits material symmetry typical for isotropy. This reduces averaging in direction and simplifies solution.

Kelvin model based on partitioning space into identical tetrakaidecahedral cells of equal volume and minimal surface area is also used. This model is referred to as Kelvin foam and was adopted by Zhu et al. (1997) as well as Warren and Kraynik (1987).

Representative volume element is repeated to represent the entire foam structure. Real cellular structures are random. In order to describe foams sensitive to random unit cell dimensions Voronoi tessellation technique is used as described by Roberts and Garboczi (2002). Alternatively computational modeling based on CAD may be applied, where finite element discretization is derived from real foam specimen by computer tomography.

Sihn and Roy (2004) proposed a 3D model of unit cell for carbon foam taking into account real distribution of carbon in skeleton structure. Foam forming process is simulated with expansion of four bubbles, centred in four vertices of tetrahedron yielding skeleton of the unit cell of a foam. (Fig. 2)

This work presents an application of the micromechanical approach to composite carbon-polyurethane foam. The results are obtained using FEM applied to a unit cell in order to evaluate elastic constants and strength of the composite. The examples are chosen so, that they can be compared with the experimental ones given by Bunning (2003).

2. MICROMECHANICAL ANALYSIS


The overall effective properties are determined by considerations using a micro-macro transition. The effective properties are then used to determine the response of structural elements on a macro scale. Such an approach is typical for micromechanics. The bases of the micromechanical algorithm is given by Nemat-Nasser and Hori (1999).

2.1. Representative volume element

A typical algorithm begins with choosing RVE. Unit cells fill the space by repetition without gaps or overlaps. No cell in a three-dimensional foam is a simple polyhedron. Tetrahedra do not fill out the space so our geometric assumptions are not exactly compatible with any three-dimensional network. Although the results cannot be exact they provide useful insight into foam mechanics. RVE modeling of foam, given below, does not fulfill this requirement, although it is used by many authors (Warren and Kraynik 1987, 1988, 1997, Zhu et al. 1997).

The data of cell geometry shown in Figure 3 is given below:

Points coordinates:

1\((-a,a,a)\) \hspace{1cm} 2\((-a,-a,a)\) \hspace{1cm} 3\((a,-a,a)\) \hspace{1cm} 4\((a,a,a)\)

5\((-a,a,-a)\) \hspace{1cm} 6\((-a,-a,a)\) \hspace{1cm} 7\((a,-a,-a)\) \hspace{1cm} 8\((a,a,-a)\)

![Fig. 2. Representative volume element of skeleton typical for carbon foams](image)

![Fig. 3. Representative volume element of carbon polyurethane composite foam](image)
Four spheres centered on points 2, 5, 6, 7 with radii satisfying condition $\sqrt{2a} \leq R \leq \frac{2\sqrt{6}}{3}a$ cut out solid skeleton with four cell boundary faces $A_I, I = I, II, ..., IV$ (Sihn and Roy 2004).

Unit normals to cell boundary are:

$$n_I = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}, -\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\right)$$
$$n_{II} = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}, -\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\right)$$
$$n_{III} = \left(-\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\right)$$
$$n_{IV} = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\right)$$

Ratio $R/a$ determines porosity of foam $\varphi$ defined as follows: $\varphi = 1 - V_s / V$

where:

$V_s$ – skeleton volume,

$V = 8a^3 / 3$ – tetrahedron volume.

A representative volume element for composite foam is given in Figure 3. Carbon skeleton (Fig. 2) is made of carbon, empty space is filled with polyurethane.

2.2. Stiffness matrices

The framework of micromechanical analysis starts with loading RVE with boundary displacements giving uniform strain state as follows:

$$\varepsilon = \left(\varepsilon^i\right)_V = \frac{1}{V} \sum_{A_I} \text{sym} \left(n_i \otimes u_i\right) \, dA$$

(2.1)

where:

$u_i$ – displacement vector for points on $A_I$ plane,

$n_i$ – unit normal to the cell boundary $A_I$.

The following strain states are considered:

1. uniaxial strain $\varepsilon_x \neq 0$, $\varepsilon_y = \varepsilon_z = 0$,
2. shearing $\gamma_{xy} = \gamma_{yz} = \gamma_{xz}$,
3. triaxial strain $\varepsilon_x = \varepsilon_y = \varepsilon_z$.

Next the resultant surface tractions normal and tangent to $A_I$ faces

$$K P_{I,J} = P_{I,J} \left(\varepsilon^i\right)_V, K P_{I,\tau} = P_{I,\tau} \left(\varepsilon^i\right)_V I = I, II, ..., IV$$

$K = 1, 2, 3$

in skeleton are obtained.

The way of calculating the effective stress tensor components.

Cutting through the representative volume element with plane $\pi : x = 0$ as shown in Figure 4, one splits unit cell in two, thus yielding face $A_{I,x}$, $A_{I,x}$. $I = I, II, ..., IV$. Considering the effective stress that has to act upon the exposed face to maintain the equilibrium with tractions on the remaining faces one obtains the following equilibrium equation:

$$A_I \left(\sigma_{xx} \bar{e}_x + \sigma_{xy} \bar{e}_y + \sigma_{xz} \bar{e}_z\right) + \bar{p}_x A_{I,x} - \bar{p}_z A_{I,z} = 0$$

cutting plane $\pi : y = 0$, yields faces $A_{I,y}$, $A_{I,y}$ $I = I, II, ..., IV$, and results in equation:

$$A_I \left(\sigma_{xy} \bar{e}_x + \sigma_{yx} \bar{e}_y + \sigma_{yz} \bar{e}_z\right) + \bar{p}_y A_{I,y} - \bar{p}_z A_{I,y} + \bar{p}_x A_{I,y} = 0$$

cutting plane $\pi : z = 0$ yields faces $A_{I,z}$, $A_{I,z}$, $I = I, II, ..., IV$ and equations:

$$A_I \left(\sigma_{xz} \bar{e}_x + \sigma_{zx} \bar{e}_z + \sigma_{zz} \bar{e}_z\right) + \bar{p}_z A_{I,z} - \bar{p}_x A_{I,z} - \bar{p}_y A_{I,z} = 0$$

where $A_x = A_y = A_z = A = \frac{a^2}{2}$ are the square sections and $\bar{e}_x, \bar{e}_y, \bar{e}_z$ are the unit vectors associated with global coordinate system. The solution of this set of equations yields stress tensor components.

On the basis of the previous analysis an effective constitutive matrix for the unit cell is constructed.

Representative volume element exhibits cubic symmetry (Warren and Kraynik A.M. 1997) represented by the following stiffness matrix:

$$S = \begin{bmatrix} s_{1111} & s_{1122} & s_{1122} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ s_{1122} & s_{1111} & s_{1122} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ s_{1122} & s_{1122} & s_{1111} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2s_{1212} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2s_{1212} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2s_{1212} \end{bmatrix}$$
Three independent elastic constants are expected in cubic symmetry: bulk modulus of the form $K = \frac{1}{3}(s_{1111} + 2s_{1212})$ and two shear moduli $\mu_1 = \frac{1}{2}(s_{1111} - s_{1212}), \mu_2 = s_{1212}$.

Cubic symmetry may be described by a degree of anisotropy $J = \frac{\mu_1}{\mu_2}$.

The representative element exhibits an additional axes of symmetry. Every axis perpendicular to the cell face pointing towards $I = I, II, III, IV$ is the axis of planar isotropy since Plateau border is isotropic in its plane (triple axis of symmetry results in isotropy). An additional axis of symmetry changes the symmetry from cubic to isotropic. For isotropy $J = 1$.

As a material on macroscale foam is isotropic. Isotropic RVE means that the orientation of a cell may be arbitrary. The macroscopic moduli for foam are identical with moduli of a representative unit cell. In the case of two material constants it is necessary to consider only two of the strain states listed in 2.2.

3. NUMERICAL RESULTS

Two types of composite foams are considered, using data specified in Table 1. Component material data are as follows:

Polyurethane data:
- tensile modulus $- 1700$ MPa,
- compressive modulus $- 750$ MPa,
- tensile strength $- 35$ MPa,
- compressive strength $- 40$ MPa,
- $\rho_{PU} = 1.1$ g/cm$^3$.

Carbon data:
- tensile modulus $- 6.79$ GPa,
- compressive modulus $- 6.79$ GPa,
- $\nu = 0.33$,
- $\rho_C = 1.4$ g/cm$^3$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of foam</th>
<th>Experiment</th>
<th>Presented model</th>
<th>1 series model</th>
<th>2 parallel model</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>4400</td>
<td>5340</td>
<td>1900</td>
<td>2400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>4000</td>
<td>4980</td>
<td>1950</td>
<td>2500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Numerical results also confirm isotropy.

4. CONCLUSIONS

The micromechanical model is applied to carbon and polyurethan composite foams. The elastic behaviour of open-cell foam is investigated numerically on the basis of the analysis of a representative volume element. A solid carbon skeleton part is based on the shape of tetrahedron cut out with three spheres. Cut out spaces of tetrahedron are filled with polyurethane. The 3D unit cell model is discretized by FE. Calculations were performed using ABAQUS system. By applying appropriate spatial boundary displacements various multiaxial strain states are created in the foam. Elastic constants for foam treated as isotropic equivalent continuum are obtained as the results of the numerical calculations. Two loading cases: hydrostatic tension and pure shear are sufficient to estimate material constants for foam treated as isotropic material.

Improved elastic properties of carbon foam, especially the higher value of Young modulus $E$, are related to the improved foam infiltration ability by polyurethane.

An analysis was carried out for foams with two different relative densities. Numerical results obtained by ABAQUS show good agreement with the experimental results. The presented model is better than series and parallel models known in the literature.

Interfacial bonding properties defining the contact of two materials may significantly influence the scale of the mechanical reinforcement. A comparison with real results given by experiment shows that in this type of composite, faces of two materials are nearly tied, which gives advantageous reinforcement. In other cases of interfacial interaction we need information about microstructural properties of constituent materials and interfacial contact should be modeled by the use of cohesive elements.
The presented method may be applied to novel materials such as graphitized foam and nano composites.
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