Computer simulation of lamellar microstructure

1. Introduction

The most-commonly known lamellar microstructure is pearlite – product from the eutectoid reaction in an Fe-Fe₃C system. The growth interaction between ferrite and cementite forms a microstructure with a lamellar morphology [1–3]. The lamellar morphology of parallel ferrite and cementite platelets in large colonies is dominating (Fig. 1). Local...
deviations such as fiber-shaped cementites, rapid changes in platelet growth direction, disturbances in the vicinity of non-metallic inclusion, etc. are considered as a growth of structural errors [4–7].

Fig. 1. Microstructure with coarse lamellar pearlite (etched in picral)

The quantitative parameters characterizing the lamellar microstructure [that is, true ($l_t$), apparent ($l_a$), and random ($l_r$) interlamellar spacing] have been defined by DeHoff, Rhines [8], and Underwood [9]. An estimation of $l_a$ and $l_r$ featuring our computer image analysis methods is presented in [10].

Determining the stereological relationships for this type of microstructure requires certain geometrical assumptions. The work of Czarski and Ryś [11, 12], which presents the basic stereological relations for lamellar microstructures, discusses to the made assumptions for certain strictly defined geometrical model. Taking only into account the concept of interlamellar spacing, we can present this model as a packet of parallel planes; the spacing between the neighboring planes is a random variable, which is fully described by the function of density $f(l_t)$ (Fig. 2).

Fig. 2. Model of lamellar structure
2. Selected stereological relationships

On the basis of the defined geometrical model of the lamellar microstructure [11–15], we determined the relationships between the density function of true interlamellar spacing \( f(l_t) \) and the density functions of random or apparent interlamellar spacing, \( f(l_t) \) and \( f(l_a) \), respectively. From a practical point of view, the relationships between function \( f(l_t) \) and the density functions of the reciprocal of interlamellar spacings \( f(l_t^{-1}) \) and \( f(l_a^{-1}) \) will also be interesting.

The conditional density functions of random interlamellar spacing and the reciprocal of random interlamellar spacing \( [f(l_r | l_t) \text{ and } f(l_r^{-1} | l_t)] \) are presented below as well as apparent interlamellar spacing and the reciprocal of apparent interlamellar spacing \( [f(l_a | l_t) \text{ and } f(l_a^{-1} | l_t)] \) [11]:

\[
\begin{align*}
    f(l_t | l_t) &= l_t / l_t^2; \quad l_t \leq l_t < \infty \\
    f(l_t^{-1} | l_t) &= 0 < l_t^{-1} \leq l_t^{-1} \\
    f(l_a | l_t) &= \frac{l_t^2}{l_a^2 l_t^2 - l_t^2}; \quad l_t \leq l_a < \infty \\
    f(l_a^{-1} | l_t) &= \frac{l_t^2}{l_a^2 l_t^2 - l_t^2}; \quad 0 < l_a^{-1} \leq l_t^{-1} 
\end{align*}
\]

Obviously, the density functions of random and apparent interlamellar spacing \( [f(l_t) \text{ and } f(l_a)] \) constitute the solution of the integral equations:

\[
\begin{align*}
    f(l_t) &= \int_0^{l_t} f(l_r | l_t) f(l_t) \, dl_t \\
    f(l_a) &= \int_0^{l_a} f(l_a | l_t) f(l_t) \, dl_t
\end{align*}
\]

3. Computer model simulation

The simulation of the discussed model was performed in the following way:

1. \( l_t \) is a random number generated from the distribution described by function \( f(l_t) \); \( l_t \) is the spacing between the determined planes \( z = 0 \) and \( z + l_t = 0 \)
2. Generation of random vector \( \mathbf{r} = [a, b, c] \) describing straight line \( \frac{x}{a} + \frac{y}{b} + \frac{z}{c} = 0 \) and plane \( ax + by + cz = 0 \), which cross parallel planes \( z = 0 \) and \( z + l_t = 0 \)
3. Calculation of the values of \( l_t, l_t^{-1}, l_a, l_a^{-1} \)

The random number generators from the CERN Program Library were used.
The testing range of the applied generators included the Pearson chi-square test, the Wald–Wolfowitz runs test, and (within the frames of the so-called combinatory tests) the poker test [16–19].

The calculations were performed in the Lahey/Fujitsu Fortran environment.

4. Simulation results

Three cases will be presented according to the assumed distribution of true interlamellar spacing (function $f(l_t)$). For each, the distributions of parameters $l_r$, $l_r^{-1}$, $l_a$, $l_a^{-1}$ were determined both analytically and as a result of the simulations.

**True interlamellar spacing is constant** ($l_t = \text{const}$)

Analytical solution. In this case, density functions $f(l_r)$, $f(l_r^{-1})$, $f(l_a)$, and $f(l_a^{-1})$ correspond to density functions (1), (2), (3), and (4), respectively:

$$f(l_r) = \frac{l_t^2}{l_t^2 - l_a^2}; \quad l_t \leq l_a < \infty \quad (7)$$

$$f(l_r^{-1}) = \frac{l_t^2}{l_t^2 - l_a^2}; \quad 0 < l_r^{-1} \leq l_t^{-1} \quad (8)$$

$$f(l_a) = \frac{l_t^2}{l_t^2 - l_a^2}; \quad l_t \leq l_a < \infty \quad (9)$$

$$f(l_a^{-1}) = \frac{l_t^2}{\sqrt{(l_a^{-1})_2 - l_t^2}}; \quad 0 < l_a^{-1} \leq l_t^{-1} \quad (10)$$

The simulation results are presented in Figures 3 and 4.

**Fig. 3.** Distribution of random interlamellar spacing $l_r$ (a) and the reciprocal of random interlamellar spacing $l_r^{-1}$ (b) with the assumption of constant true interlamellar spacing, $l_t = 1$
Fig. 4. Distribution of apparent interlamellar spacing $l_a$ (a) and the reciprocal of apparent interlamellar spacing $l_a^{-1}$ (b) with the assumption of constant true interlamellar spacing, $l_t = 1$

**True interlamellar spacing has a uniform distribution**

In the case of uniform distribution, the density function of true interlamellar spacing has the following form:

$$f(l_t) = \frac{1}{l_t^{\text{max}} - l_t^{\text{min}}}; \quad l_t^{\text{min}} < l_t < l_t^{\text{max}}$$  \hspace{2cm} (11)

where: $l_t^{\text{min}}, l_t^{\text{max}}$ are the minimal and maximal values of true interlamellar spacing, respectively.

Analytical solutions:

$$f(l_r) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{1}{2(l_t^{\text{max}} - l_t^{\text{min}})} \left(1 - \frac{l_r^{\text{min}}}{l_r^{\text{max}}}\right); & l_t^{\text{min}} \leq l_r < l_t^{\text{max}} \\
\frac{1}{2l_r^2(l_t^{\text{max}} - l_t^{\text{min}})}; & l_t^{\text{max}} \leq l_r < \infty 
\end{cases}$$  \hspace{2cm} (12)

$$f(l_a) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{1}{2(l_t^{\text{max}} - l_t^{\text{min}})} \left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \arcsin\frac{l_t^{\text{min}}}{l_a^{\text{max}}} - \frac{l_t^{\text{min}}}{l_a^{\text{max}}} \sqrt{l_a^{\text{max}}^2 - l_t^{\text{min}}^2} \right); & l_t^{\text{min}} \leq l_a < l_t^{\text{max}} \\
\frac{1}{2l_a^2(l_t^{\text{max}} - l_t^{\text{min}})} \left(l_t^{\text{min}} \sqrt{l_a^{\text{max}}^2 - l_t^{\text{min}}^2} - l_t^{\text{max}} \sqrt{l_a^{\text{max}}^2 - l_t^{\text{max}}^2} \right) + \\
\frac{1}{2(l_t^{\text{max}} - l_t^{\text{min}})} \left(\arcsin\frac{l_t^{\text{max}}}{l_a^{\text{max}}} - \arcsin\frac{l_t^{\text{min}}}{l_a^{\text{max}}} \right); & l_t^{\text{max}} \leq l_a < \infty 
\end{cases}$$  \hspace{2cm} (13)
\[ f(l_r^{-1}) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{1}{2}(l_{\text{max}} + l_{\text{min}}); & 0 \leq l_r^{-1} < l_{\text{max}}^{-1} \\
\frac{1}{2(l_{\text{max}} + l_{\text{min}})}\left[(l_r^{-1})^2 - l_{\text{min}}^2\right]; & l_{\text{max}}^{-1} \leq l_r^{-1} < l_{\text{min}}^{-1}
\end{cases} \] (14)

\[ f(l_a^{-1}) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{1}{2l_a^{-1}(l_{\text{max}} - l_{\text{min}})}\left(l_{\text{min}}\sqrt{1-l_{\text{min}}^2(l_a^{-1})^2} - l_{\text{max}}\sqrt{1-l_{\text{max}}^2(l_a^{-1})^2}\right) + \\
\frac{1}{2(l_a^{-1})^2(l_{\text{max}} - l_{\text{min}})}\times \\
\times \arcsin\left(l_{\text{max}}l_a^{-1}\sqrt{1-l_{\text{min}}^2(l_a^{-1})^2} - l_{\text{min}}l_a^{-1}\sqrt{1-l_{\text{max}}^2(l_a^{-1})^2}\right) \\
0 \leq l_a^{-1} < l_{\text{max}}^{-1} \\
\frac{1}{2(l_a^{-1})^2(l_{\text{max}} - l_{\text{min}})}\left(l_{\text{min}}l_a^{-1}\sqrt{1-l_{\text{min}}^2(l_a^{-1})^2} - l_{\text{max}}l_a^{-1}\sqrt{1-l_{\text{max}}^2(l_a^{-1})^2}\right) + \\
\arcsin\left(l_{\text{min}}l_a^{-1}\right) + \frac{\pi}{2}\right); \\
l_{\text{max}}^{-1} \leq l_a^{-1} < l_{\text{min}}^{-1}
\end{cases} \] (15)

The results of the simulation are presented in Figures 5 and 6.

**Fig. 5.** Distribution of random interlamellar spacing \( l_r \) (a) and the reciprocal of random interlamellar spacing \( l_r^{-1} \) (b) with the assigned uniform distribution of true interlamellar spacing \( l_t \) obtained as a result of the simulation.
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True interlamellar spacing has a Rayleigh distribution

In the case of the Rayleigh distribution, the density function of true interlamellar spacing has the following form [16–17]:

\[
f(t) = 2\lambda (t - t_{\text{min}}) e^{-\frac{\lambda (t - t_{\text{min}})^2}{2}}; \quad t_{\text{min}} < t < \infty
\]  

(16)

where:

- \( t_{\text{min}} \) – the minimal value of true interlamellar spacing,
- \( \lambda \) – distribution parameter.

The results of the simulation are presented in Figures 7 and 8.
Fig. 8. Distribution of apparent interlamellar spacing $l_a$ (a) and the reciprocal of apparent interlamellar spacing $l_a^{-1}$ (b) with the assigned Rayleigh distribution of true interlamellar spacing $l_t$ obtained as a result of the simulation

5. Discussion of results

The correctness of the performed computer simulation of the previously defined geometrical model of the lamellar microstructure is proven by the very good compatibility of the obtained empirical distributions of the distance between parameters $l_r$ and $l_a$ and the reciprocal of distance $l_r^{-1}, l_a^{-1}$ with the analytically obtained density functions (cases A and B).

It is worth noting the characteristic property that is exhibited by the distribution of the reciprocals of random interlamellar spacing; regardless of the form of the distribution of true interlamellar spacing $f(l_t)$, this distribution characterizes in the existence of a “plateau” upto $l_r^{-1} = l_{r,max}^{-1}$. The presence of a “plateau” has already been one of the bases for verifying the compatibility of the presented model with the microstructure of coarse pearlite [12].

Obviously, the central point of the stereological description of the lamellar microstructure is the solution of integral equations (5) and (6), which can allow for estimating the distribution of true interlamellar spacing on the basis of the empirical distribution of random or apparent interlamellar spacing. Such attempts, both in the strict and the approximated forms (by way of discretization of the continuous random variable $l_t$), have been and still are made [14].

The presented and further-developed simulation method can be used in the future for the examination of the effect of deviations from the lamellar morphology on the results of the estimation of the stereological parameters according to the assumed model, as well as the properties of the stereological parameter estimators of this microstructure.
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