Dheepika PS UMADEVI V

A NOVEL HYBRID DEEP LEARNING APPROACH FOR 3D OBJECT DETECTION AND TRACKING IN AUTONOMOUS DRIVING

CANDIMERRID DEEP LEARNING
 A NOVEL HYBRID DEEP LEARNING
 A NOVEL HYBRID DEEP LEARNING
 ADPROACH FOR 3D OBJECT DETECTION
 AND TRACKING IN AUTONOMOUS DRIVING
 AND TRACKING IN AUTONOMOUS DRIVING
 AND TRACKING IN Abstract Recently Object detection and tracking using fusion of LiDAR and RGB camera for the autonomous vehicle environment is a challenging task. The existing works initiates several object detection and tracking frameworks using Artificial Intelligence (AI) algorithms. However, they were limited with high false positives and computation time issues thus lacking the performance of autonomous driving environment. The existing issues are resolved by proposing Hybrid Deep Learning based Multi Object Detection and Tracking (HDL-MODT) using sensor fusion methods. The proposed work performs fusion of solid state LiDAR, Pseudo LiDAR, and RGB camera for improving detection and tracking quality. At first, the multi-stage preprocessing is done in which noise removal is performed using Adaptive Fuzzy Filter (A-Fuzzy). The pre-processed fused image is then provided for instance segmentation to reduce the classification and tracking complexity. For that, the proposed work adopts Lightweight General Adversarial Networks (LGAN). The segmented image is provided for object detection and tracking using HDL. For reducing the complexity, the proposed work utilized VGG-16 for feature extraction which forms the feature vectors. The features vectors are then provided for object detection using YOLOv4. Finally, the detected objects were tracked using Improved Unscented Kalman Filter (IUKF) and mapping the vehicles using time based mapping by considering their RFID, velocity, location, dimension and unique ID. The simulation of the proposed work is carried out using MATLAB R2020a simulation tool and performance of the proposed work is compared with several metrics that show that the proposed work outperforms than the existing works.

Copyright \odot 2024 Author(s). This is an open access publication, which can be used, distributed and reproduced in any medium according to the [Creative Commons CC-BY 4.0 License.](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

1. Introduction

vi[a](#page-30-6)l information b[y](#page-30-4) estimating traffic scale over time, and orientation. Various types and scale and scale of stansos are used to detect objects and perform tracking scale as optical RADAR, carres, and LiDAR) are equipped In recent days, autonomous vehicles have developed rapidly, in which threedimensional (3D) multiobject detection and tracking are performed [\[10\]](#page-30-0). This provides vital information by estimating traffic scale over time, and orientation. Various types of sensors are used to detect objects and perform tracking such as optical RADAR, cameras, and LiDAR in autonomous vehicles especially, Light Detection and Ranging (LiDAR) are equipped in autonomous vehicles to recognize and detect multiple 3D objects which provide deep measurements [2,21]. RGB images are also captured from cameras with high resolution to detect the objects [27, 28]. Pseudo-LiDAR is used in several approaches to extract depth from the images and transform the image into 3D cloud points [\[19\]](#page-30-1). However, several challenges occurred in Pseudo LiDAR due to high sparse points and complexity [\[8,](#page-30-2)[23\]](#page-31-3). To overcome this challenge, RGB-D images and LiDAR cloud points are combined to perform multi-object detection by considering obstacles, static and dynamic objects. However, environmental and other factors increase the noise which reduces the detection accuracy [22], [17]. Bounding box estimation is performed by considering orientation and location of all objects present in the frame to detect the objects. In some works, image features are considered to estimate bounding boxes. However, the appearance and geometrical factors of the image are ignored which reduces the accurate object detection when it is in dynamic state (i.e., motorcycles, pedestrians, etc.) [18]. Segmentation is performed to improve classification accuracy. Various types of features are extracted from the point cloud images and RGB images which are under the category of spatial and temporal related features [3]. In several works, ground extraction is performed initially then classify the non-ground points based on several classes which reduce the complexity of object segmentation and provide high accuracy. However, it reduces the speed which is not applicable for real-time scenarios. Various deep learning neural networks are used to detect and track objects such as convolutional neural networks (CNN), residual neural network (ResNet), you only look once (YOLO), single-shot detector (SSD), etc., in which YOLO and SSD are single-stage classification algorithms whereas CNN, ResNet algorithms are two-stage algorithms [9]. Initially, these algorithms are used to detect 2D objects. Later the 2D cloud points are converted into 3D voxels using 3D CNN for detecting 3D objects [13]. The point cloud images are projected in bird's eye view in some works to know the dense of the image. However, it consists of several challenges such as occlusion of objects and perspective-related problems. However, single-stage algorithms do not detect small objects accurately whereas two-stage algorithms do not applicable for real-time scenarios due to low speed [\[31\]](#page-31-5).

Acronyms

1.1. Motivation and objectives

The 3D object detection and tracking method faced many shortcomings in terms of Less detection, classification accuracy, and less tracking accuracy. The existing works provided some approaches however, they failed to provide precise results. Some of the shortcomings faced by the existing works are,

- Less Detection Accuracy \rightarrow The existing works directly acquire images from the datasets and undergo further processes which limit them with less detection accuracy as the directly acquired images suffer from noise and poor quality. Also, the existing works employ single stage detector for object detection, which also limits the detection accuracy.
- Complexity in Object Classification High complexity in classification affects classification accuracy. The existing works are limited with high complexity during classification as they extract raw features directly from the images without performing segmentation.
- **Poor Object Tracking** The existing works lack poor tracking accuracy as they consider only current and previous time stamps for object tracking however, some of the object tracking-related metrics are not considered.

The above major pitfalls motivated us to deliver a robust, reliable, and accurate framework with the aim of detecting and tracking the objects with high detection accuracy and rapid classification using Solid-state LiDAR, Pseudo LiDAR, and RGB-D images. In addition, various problems are addressed in this research based on sparsity of cloud points, false positive rates, etc.

The foremost objective of this work is to detect and track the 3D objects using solid-state LiDAR, pseudo-LiDAR, and RGB-D images with high detection accuracy and rapid classification for efficient tracking. The remaining objectives of this proposed work are sorted below.

- To enhance the quality of LiDAR and RGB-D images, pre-processing is performed for removing the noise and equalizing the luminance effect which increases the accuracy of the detection results.
- To improve the viewport prediction of the input image by performing image rotation and instance segmentation for detecting the objects' pose accurately even for small objects which improves the tracking reliability.
- To reduce the false-positive rate, extraction of multiple features is performed which extracts numerous features to increase the accuracy of detection and tracking.

1.2. Research contribution

Designing a highly accurate 3D object detection and tracking model for autonomous driving using deep learning algorithm is the major aim of this work. Some of the research contributions are provided below,

- The problem of image quality, noise factors, and less contrastness are resolved by performing multi stage pre-processing. The existing works performs only noise removal as pre-processing technique. The proposed work performs multi-stage pre-processing as A-Fuzzy based noise removal, MSO based contrast enhancement, and point to voxel conversion.
- The complexity issues during object detection and tracking are resolved by performing instance segmentation using LGAN algorithm. Most of the existing works provides the raw data to the classifier which increases the computation of object detection and classification respectively.
- To improve the viewport prediction of the fingit image by performing image
of the control of the signal distance segmentation for detecting the objects' pase accurately
vevo for small objects which improves the trackin • The accuracy of the object detection and tracking is improved by adopting HDL algorithm in which VGG 16 is utilized for feature extraction, and YOLOv4 is utilized for object detection and classification. Further, the object tracking is achieved by adopting IUKF algorithm based on RFID, unique ID, location, velocity, and dimension.

1.3. Paper organization

The rest of this paper is organized as follows; the section II provides the literature survey along with the existing gaps. Section III emphases the problem statement which shows the major research works and their corresponding problems. Section IV details the proposed work with detailed explanation along with diagrams and pseudocodes. Section V explains the experimental analysis in which four sub-sections provides such as simulation setup, dataset description, experimental analysis, and research summary. Section VI concludes the proposed work.

2. Literature survey

This section emphasis, the existing literatures and gaps associated with them in object detection and tracking for autonomous driving. Furthermore, this section also subdivided into three sections which are also listed below,

2.1. Object detection approaches

Authors in this work introduce camera image-based 3D object detection [29]. This work extracts Pseudo LiDAR points from stereo images and performs object classification which give low cost for object detection however, the Pseudo LiDAR points are prone to high sparsity.

Authors in this work perform segmentation of foreground-based object detection from the LiDAR cloud points [\[24\]](#page-31-7). Here raw LiDAR point clouds were taken as input for real-time object detection however, the LiDAR sensor is prone to noise and environmental conditions which leads to less detection accuracy.

Authors in this work perform autonomous vehicle detection by employing LiDAR point clouds [\[5\]](#page-29-2). This work extracts feature from the raw LiDAR point clouds however, the extraction of features from the raw LiDAR point clouds leads to high complexity in object classification.

The 3D object detection for autonomous vehicles was performed using fusion of LiDAR and camera data approach was discussed in [\[32\]](#page-31-8). This work utilized convolutional neural network for 3D object detection however, the convolutional neural network is limited with feature redundancy which leads to high complexity during object classification.

2.2. Object tracking approaches

Authors in this paper introduced 3D probabilistic object tracking model for autonomous driving [4]. This work considers both camera and LiDAR images for 3D object tracking. Based on the matching result, the object tracking was performed and unmatched results were further provided to initialization of tracking phase.

divided into th[r](#page-29-3)ee sections which are also liste[d](#page-31-6) below,

2.1. **Object detection approaches**

Authors in this work introduce camera image-based 3D object detection [29]. This

work extracts Pseudo LDMR points from stereo Authors in this work perform a 3D object tracking framework by introducing SIMTRACK [15]. This work performs both object detection and classification by considering only LiDAR images as input. This work attains less detection accuracy and poor tracking as they considered only raw LiDAR point clouds for object detection, and considered only current and previous time stamps for object tracking respectively.

In this paper [\[25\]](#page-31-9), author proposed an approach to perform tracking of multiple targets for autonomous vehicle environment using YOLOv3. Experimental analysis is performed using two datasets namely KITTI and UA-DETRAC datasets in terms of processing speed and accuracy. Here, YOLOv3 based object detection and tracking was performed. However, it cannot able to detect small objects in an efficient manner which reduces the tracking efficiency.

Authors in this work [\[16\]](#page-30-8), perform camera fusion methods for tracking objects using 3D in space. This work fused the imaging modalities such as radar and 3D camera. This work directly provides the fused data to the center fusion network without pre-processing it, that leads to lesser tracking accuracy.

2.3. Object detection and tracking approaches

Authors in this paper [\[20\]](#page-31-10), proposed object detection and tracking for moving objects using 360-degree view camera. Here, moving object is detected based on the position and velocity, however direction is also an important metric for object tracking, hence this research obtains less performance in moving object tracking that reduces tracking accuracy.

Authors in this work [\[7\]](#page-30-9), utilized Kalman filter method for performing object detection and tracking for autonomous vehicles. The object detection and tracking model was highly suitable for pedestrians, bicycles, and cars. The results shows that the fusion of LiDAR and radar gains better results than the radar and LiDAR only modalities.

2.3. Object detection and tracking approaches
Authors in this paper [20], proposed object detection and tracking for moving objects
using 306-degree view conners. Here, moving objects also an interposition
and velocity, In [\[1\]](#page-29-4), authors perform fusion methodology for enabling object detection and tracking for autonomous vehicles. The detected objects were tracked using radar which used gaussian mixture probability hypothesis density filtering algorithm based on three phases such as booting, prediction, and update. The gaussian mixture probability density hypothesis filtering was highly linear that did not suit for real time environment.

As same as the aforementioned papers, authors in [\[14\]](#page-30-10) also performed fusion of camera and radar for joint object detection and tracking. This work utilized faster regional convolutional neural network for object detection whereas the radar information was utilized for object tracking. Here, the utilization of faster regional convolutional neural network limits with higher time consumption and less convergence.

3. Problem statement

The major problems associated with the specific prior works are provided in this section. Furthermore, this section also provides the brief research solutions for the mentioned problems.

An accurate and effective 3D object detection framework for autonomous vehicles was introduced in this work [30]. This work consists of three phases namely fusion phase, voxel-wise feature encoder phase, and 3D backbone network phase. This work performs 3D object detection by aggregating the RGB image and LiDAR point cloud image. The LiDAR point cloud image images were voxelized in order to extract the point-wise features, and the RGB image features are extracted directly from the RGB images. Both the extracted features are aggregated in the fusion phase. Finally, the extracted voxel features are fed to 3D backbone network which consists of 3D sparse convolutional layers and performs bounding box classification.

Authors in this work introduces an object detection framework in real-time environment using LiDAR [\[6\]](#page-30-11). This work consists of phases such as input data acquisition, segmentation, and classification. Initially, the LiDAR point cloud data were acquired from the data set from which LiDAR point cloud map was formed. The LiDAR point cloud map was provided for segmentation in which three sub-phases are involved namely hierarchical segmentation, hierarchical merge, and extraction of ground. Finally, the Yolov4 classifies and detects the objects which were represented in 3D bounding boxes. The major limitations associated with those works are listed below,

- This work employs LiDAR sensors for acquiring LiDAR point cloud images which were effective and accurate however, the LiDAR sensors are limited with high cost and prone to environmental conditions.
- Here, feature extraction was done during classification phase in which only limited features are extracted however, this attains poor classification as they considered only limited features (i.e., only textual features).
- The adoption of single-stage detector (i.e., Yolov4) in [6] was used for feature extraction and object detection which also limits with less detection accuracy as it did not withstand with heavy features.

LDAR point dool m[a](#page-30-12)p was provided for a
s[y](#page-31-12)mmetrizinal increasing that a simulation and a straction of a<[b](#page-30-11)r>reaching bareachical segmentation, hierarchical merge, and extraction of
ground. Finally, the Yolov4 classifies and de A joint object detection and object tracking framework using LiDAR point clouds was introduced in this work was discussed in [26]. This work consists of four phases namely feature extraction phase, association phase, refinement phase, and trajectory phase. Initially, the two LiDAR point cloud points are taken inputs, that were provided to the feature extraction phase in which point-wise features are extracted for both the images and 3D bounding boxes were assigned. The output of the feature extraction phase was provided to association phase in which feature fusion and foreground removal were taken place. The refinement phase was used to refine the aggregated features and also provides the tracking displacement information of both frames. Finally, the trajectory phase matches the displacement of both frames and tracks the image in bird's eye view.

Authors in this work [12] utilized LiDAR and camera, joint object tracking and classification were introduced in this work. This work consists of two stages namely detection stage, and classification stage. Initially, the camera and LiDAR point cloud images with current and previous time stamps are provided to combine networks in which both the temporal and spatial information are combined. Based on the combining result, heat map was formed. The fusion network fuses both the information provided for object detection in which regional proposals and refinement of the proposals were made and performs object detection. Based on the detection and time stamps, spatiotemporal graphs were constructed. Finally, based on the graphs, the object tracking was performed in adjacent network. The major problems centred in this work are listed below,

- Here, the raw input LiDAR input images were taken for feature extraction and object detection however, the acquisition of raw LiDAR was prone to noise, environmental conditions, and also achieves increased complexity.
- The object tracking was performed based on the current and previous timestamps by using graph neural networks however, the tracking accuracy was affected by not considering some of the tracking-related attributes (location, dimension, orientation, etc..,).
- The 3D object detection was performed based on the regional proposals and refinement for the fused features however, the features fed to the classifier was not effective as it holds unnecessary background information which increases the complexity.

3.1. Research solutions

The operatorial map with process and the current map are procedured in the procedure of the mediting strategies of the tracking-related attributes (location, dimension, orientation, etc.,).

The 3D object decocion was per The aforementioned research problems are resolved by proposing 3D multi-objective object detection and tracking method using deep learning algorithm. The proposed work fused the three input images such as RGB-D, pseudo-LiDAR cloud points, and solid-state LiDAR for improving the accuracy of object detection and tracking. At first, the images acquired from the dataset are preprocessed in which the proposed work performs multi stage pre-processing which includes noise removal using A-Fuzzy, contrast enhancement using MSO, and point to voxel conversion. The pre-processed fused images are fed for segmentation to reduce the classifier complexity. As the fused images are of different orientations, the proposed work tends to manage them by rotating the images into four degrees such as 10 °, 90°, 180°, and 270°. Once, all the images are properly oriented the instance segmentation is performed by L-GAN algorithm. From the segmented part, the feature extraction and classification is performed using hybrid deep learning algorithm named VGG 16 and YOLOv4 respectively. The VGG 16 is utilized for feature extraction whereas the YOLOv4 for enabling high speed and precise classification of moving object. The detected objects are then tracked based on several metrics using IUKF. Furthermore, the reliability of tracking is improved by performing mapping in location and time respectively.

4. Proposed work

Accurate 3D object detection and tracking are mainly focused in this research for autonomous vehicle environments. For this purpose, we take input images from Solid-state LiDAR, Pseudo LiDAR, and RGB-D images. The adoption of intelligence algorithms in this work is to ensure the precision, reliability, and timeliness of the proposed framework. The proposed work adopts Karlsruhe Institute of Technology and Toyota Technological Institute (KITTI) dataset for effectively train the proposed deep learning algorithm for autonomous driving environment. The system model of the proposed work is shown in Figure 1. This proposed work consists of three sequential processes which are described as follows,

4.1. Multi stage pre-processing

Initially, we have taken three types of inputs, they are RGB-D images, Pseudo LiDAR cloud points, and Solid-state LiDAR cloud points in which the pseudo LiDAR cloud points are obtained from the RGB-D images. Solid-state LiDAR and Pseudo LiDAR are fused to decrease the sparsity. The Solid-state LiDAR is the emerging technology in 3D object detection that overcomes the LiDAR in terms of low cost, high speed, and better accuracy. The fusion of three inputs, cancels the disadvantages of one another thereby achieving better representation of real time scenes. The Table 1 represents the comparison of upsides and downsides of RGB-D images, Pseudo LiDAR cloud points, and Solid-state LiDAR cloud points. In addition, it illuminates the scenario with high speed.

Table 1 Comparison of proposed inputs Upsides Proposed inputs Downsides	
$-$ Not with stand with bad $\,$ Solid-state LiDAR - Compact size and climatic situations structure $-$ Not suitable for omnidirectional - Free from calibration and effectively capture scanning important features Less cost than conventional LiDAR	
Pseudo-LiDAR Clearer depth information - High depth estimation error - Low power when - Ineffective in capturing local compared to LiDAR features	
$RGB-D$ Provides orientation and - Problem of interference when poses of the objects two cameras capture same scene Provides omnidirectional - Capturing and measuring range view of the environment is limited	

Table 1 Comparison of proposed inputs

4.1.1. Noise removal

Generally, RGB-D images and LiDAR cloud points have more noises which reduce the quality of the images. To overcome this issue, noise removal is performed using A-Fuzzy with two stages. In the initial stage, the pixels are classified as noisy and good. The noisy pixels are taken in the second stage to remove the noise. In addition, this filter preserves the edge which increases the detection accuracy efficiently.

Stage 1: For pixel (pix_{ji}) of an input image, the pixel set of neighborhoods (nei_{ji}^{W}) is assessed which is associated with the $pix_{ji} \in K$ in which K is the input image among that W is half filter window. Therefore, nei_{ji}^W can be formulated as,

$$
nei_{ji}^W = \{pix_{j+n,i+r} \quad \forall n, r \in [-W, W]\}\tag{1}
$$

From the above equations, x_2 is $\langle B^N \rangle$ is $\langle W + 1 \rangle$.
For the above of methy is (2007 in 1)-Color (2014) is the property of the methods in the energy method, the methods in the method with range of 0 to 1
i.e. $\mu_{\$ From the above equation, the size of nei_{ji}^W is $(2W+1)\cdot(2W+1)$. For instance, if k_m is the pixel element in nei_{ji}^W then $m = 1, 2, ..., M$ in which $M = (2W+1)cdot(2W+1)$. Once, the nei^W is computed, the membership function for every nei^W element is computed.In this stage, the noise intensity value is determined with range of 0 to 1 i.e. $pix_{ji} \notin \{0,1\}$. For every element k_m of nei_{ji}^W the membership function mem_{ji}^W is determined based on gaussian membership functions $\delta_{(mem_{ji}^W)}(k_m)$: $nei_{ji}^W \rightarrow [0,1]$.

For the type-3 fuzzy set, the $\widetilde{(mem)}_{ji}^W$ is defined by the $\delta_{\widetilde{mem}^W_{ji}}(k_m, \delta_{mem^{W}_{ji}})$. The association of mem_{ji}^W with the gaussian membership function can be formulated as,

$$
\delta_{(mem)^{(W,n)}_{ji}}(k_m) = e^{-(k_m - \vartheta_{ji}^{(W,n)})^2/2(\rho_{ji}^W)^2}
$$
\n(2)

where, $\vartheta_{ji}^{(W,n)}$ is the mean function that varies based on n, and ρ_{ji}^W is the variance that is set as constant. The formulation of $\vartheta_{ji}^{(W,n)}$ and ρ_{ji}^W can be provided below,

$$
\vartheta_{ji}^{(W,n)} = \varpi_{\exists}(nei_{ji}^{W}), \exists = 1, 2, 3, ..., h
$$
\n(3)

$$
\rho_{ji}^W = \varpi_h(R_{ji}^W) \tag{4}
$$

From the above equations, ϖ_{\perp} is the mean of \perp middle, and ϖ_h is the standard mean. Utilizing ι_1 norm, the R_{ji}^W can be formulated as follows,

$$
R_{ji}^W = \{|k_m - av_{\vartheta}|, \forall \in k_m \in nei_{ji}^W\};
$$

$$
av_{\vartheta} = \frac{1}{h} \sum_{n=1}^h \vartheta_{ji}^{(W,n)}
$$
(5)

where, the average mean can be defined as $a v_{\vartheta}$ from the mean of \exists middle. From the $\delta_{memji}^{(W,n)}$, mean, and variance the membership matrix (∇_{ji}) is constructed with size of h×M that composed values of membership function of k_m .

From the matrix, the threshold value (th^n) is determined for pixel classification that can be formulated as,

$$
th^n = min(max(\nabla_{ji}))
$$
\n(6)

From the above equation, *min* and *max* denotes the minimum and maximum operators respectively. In the ∇_{ji} , the column wise operation includes the association of mem_{ji}^W with nei_{ji}^W that can be expressed as,

$$
\delta_{(mem)^{W}_{ji}} = \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{h} \nabla_{ji}}{h} \ \ \forall \ \ j = 1, ..., M \tag{7}
$$

From the eqns (6) and (7), the pixel quality is determined. If the $\delta_{(mem)y} > th^n$ then the pixel is considered as good otherwise considered as noisy pixels.

Stage 2: In this stage, the noisy pixels of the input images were denoised based on the good pixels. The good pixels sets were denoted as β with mapping function of [0,1] by the membership function δ_{β} . The mean gaussian membership function is computed for the β based on mean of \exists middle. From which the average of \exists - values is taken from the set of β . Therefore, the $\alpha v_{\beta m}$ and ρ_{β} of δ_{β} can be formulated as,

$$
avg_n = \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{h} m_n}{h}; \rho_{\beta} = |\beta - avg_m|
$$
\n
$$
\delta_{\beta}(g_j) = e^{-(g_j - (avg_m)^2/2\rho_{\beta}^2)}
$$
\n(9)

From the above equation $(9),m_n$ is the $n-th$ good pixels mean $(n=1,2,...,h)$. The denoise intensity pixels can be formulated as,

$$
de_{pix} = \frac{\sum_{\forall g_j \in \beta} \int jg_j}{L}; L = \sum_{j=1}^{de} I_j \tag{10}
$$

where, the weight of the good pixel can be denoted as $I_j \in \delta_{\beta}$, and the normalized term is denoted as L. The pseudo code for the proposed noise removal step using A-Fuzzy in multi stage pre-processing is provided below.

Algorithm 1 Pseudocode for Noise Removal Using A-Fuzzy

of [0,1] by the membership function δ_p . The mean general membership function is computed for the β based on mean of α -filde. From which the swares of e^+ values is taken from the set of β . Elerctore, the due 1: Input:Noisy 2D Image 2: Output:Denoised Image 3: Begin 4: //Noise Removal// 5: for all input images do 6: for every pix_{ii} in K do 7: Determine the neighborhood pixels ne^{W}_{ji} (1) 8: Compute gaussian membership function (2) 9: Determine mean $(\vartheta_{ji}^{(W,n)})$ and variance (ρ_{ji}^W) (3), (4) 10: Construct membership matrix ∇_{ji} 11: Determine threshold and δ ₍*mem*)^{*W*}_{*ji*} (6) and (7) 12: if $\delta_{(mem)^W_{ji}} > th^n$ then 13: Good Pixel 14: else 15: Bad Pixel 16: end if 17: end for 18: end for 19: End

4.1.2. Contrast enhancement

After removing the noise, contrast enhancement is performed to improve the quality of RGB-D images using MSO algorithm which equalizes the histogram of the images by improving the visibility based on brightness adjustment in an efficient manner. This equalizes the luminance to increase the detection accuracy.

by imploying the visionity onset on inspiring signation in an encoder name.

This equalizes the luminance to increase the detection accuray.

This equalizes the luminance to increase the detection accuray.

This equalizes The noise removed image is denoted as $de(j,i)$ in which $j=1,2,...N$ and $i=1$ $1, 2, ..., R \in z^{NxR}$ in which the (j,i) is the gray location in the image of size N^{*}R. At first, the given denoised image is segmented into non-overlapping segments as $S = \{s_1, s_2, ..., s_n\}.$ Furthermore, the segments are divided into blocks that can be represented as $B = \{b_1, b_2, ..., b_{n-1}\}.$ From that, the Contrastness Measure (CM) is determined. For computing CM, the Contrast Value Factor (CVF) is determined that can be formulated as,

$$
CVF(l) = CM_{mw} + CM_{wD}, \ \ l \in [1, 2, ..., n]
$$
\n⁽¹¹⁾

Where, CM_{mw} is the contrast measure with mean window, and CM_{wD} is the contrast measure with window deviation. Both are computed based on the nonoverlapping segments. At last, the contrast score is determined by,

$$
con_{sc} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{l}^{n} CVF(l), \quad l \in [1, ..., n]
$$
 (12)

From the above equation, the given image con_{sc} can be determined based on the probability value from high to low contrast. If the given image has lower contrast, then the proposed work utilized MSO algorithm to enhance the contrast based on equalizing the histogram. In our work, the less contrast pixels in the images are considered as moths (q_i) and their histogram is $F(q_i)$. For every iteration, the contrast of pixels is improved. The positions of the less contrast pixels are initialized as follows,

$$
q_{iv} = Rnd[0,1] \cdot (q_v^{maxi} - q_v^{mini}) + q_v^{mini}
$$
\n(13)

where, $i \in \{1, 2, ..., q\}, v \in \{1, 2, ..., d\}$ in which q denotes the pixel population, d is the problem dimension, and Rnd is the random value. Whereas, the q_v^{mini} and q_v^{maxi} are the lower and upper limits respectively. The objective function of moth swarm optimization based contrast enhancement is shown in Eqn (12). From that, the probability of updation can be formulated as,

$$
Pr_u = \frac{F(q_i)_u}{\sum_{u=1}^{qu} F(q_i)_u} \tag{14}
$$

For optimizing the histogram of the pixels for reducing the luminance, the following conditions must be satisfied based on the contrast score (i.e., objective function) that can be formulated as,

$$
F(q_i)_u = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{1+con_{sc}}, con_{sc} \ge 0\\ 1+|con_{sc}|, con_{sc} < 0 \end{cases}
$$
 (15)

The updation of low contrast pixels to high contrast pixels after contrast enhancement can be formulated as,

$$
q_i^{j+1} = q_i^j + 0.001 \cdot \alpha [q_i^j - q_i^{mini}, q_i^{maxi} - q_i^j] +
$$

(1 - \aleph/α) \cdot Rnd₁ \cdot (bes_uⁱ - q_i^j) + 2 \aleph/α - Rnd₂ \cdot (bes_lⁱ - q_i^j) (16)

Where, Rnd_1 and Rnd_2 are the random numbers of interval [0,1]. \aleph/α , and $2\aleph/\alpha$ are the environmental factors affecting the contrast enhancement. During the end of current iteration, the contrastness of the pixels are refined for next iteration which iterates until the desired solution had met.

4.1.3. Points to voxel conversion

 $q_i' = q_i' + 0.001 \cdot \alpha(q_i' - q_i''^{max} - q_i') + 2000 - R\alpha d_2 \cdot (bes_8' - q_i')$ (16)

Where, *Rod₁* and *Rod₂* are the random numbers of interval [0,1]. *N/a*, and 28/a

are the environmental factors affecting the contrast can handenment The enhanced LiDAR 2D cloud points are converted into 3D voxels for improving the perception view of the object to increase the detection accuracy of the 3D objects. For converting the 2D LiDAR to 3D voxels, the maximum and minimum points are traversed in three dimensional directions (i.e., X, Y, and Z). The maximum traversed point is (max_i, max_i, max_i) , and the minimum traversed point is $(min_x, mini_y, mini_z)$. The voxel grid can be computed by rounding operation based on the voxel size that can be formulated as,

$$
\left\lceil \frac{max_{x} - min_{x}}{VoxS} \right\rceil \cdot \left\lceil \frac{max_{y} - min_{y}}{VoxS} \right\rceil \cdot \left\lceil \frac{max_{z} - min_{z}}{VoxS} \right\rceil \tag{17}
$$

From the obtained voxel gird, the 3D voxel grid coordinates for every point clouds can be determined as,

$$
\begin{cases}\nVox_{i.X} = \left\lceil \frac{Pt_i.X - min_{x}}{VoxS} \right\rceil \\
Vox_{i.Y} = \left\lceil \frac{Pt_i.Y - min_{y}}{VoxS} \right\rceil & Pt_i \in pointcloud \\
Vox_{i.Z} = \left\lceil \frac{Pt_i.Z - min_{z}}{VoxS} \right\rceil\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(18)

From the above equation, Pt_i denotes the i-th point in the 2D LiDAR point cloud. The voxelized image and the contrast enhanced image are fused to form high refined 3D image.

Figure 1. Overall architecture of proposed 3D object & tracking model

4.2. L-GAN based instance segmentation

The voxelized LiDAR cloud points and pre-processed RGB-D images are fused before performing segmentation for achieving efficient results in detection of 3D objects. The angle of the images is changed from one another which reduces the detection accuracy. To overcome this issue, we rotate the images in terms of several degrees such as 10°, 90°, 180°, and 270°. After rotating the images, instance segmentation is performed for the fused images using Lightweight Generative Adversarial Network (L-GAN) algorithm which provides precise segmentation when compared with other state-of-the-art models in terms of having channel and position attention modules respectively $(Ch_{att} \& Po_{att}).$

1 no ange of the magne is changed in
to more another when reduces the edectrical degrees and energy. To overcome this issue, we rotate the images in terms of several degrees
such as 10°, 90°, 80°, and 270°. After rotating The generator (Gen) in the GAN is trained in the way of mapping function from input image to segmented image. The Gen composed of encoder and decoder architecture. The training of Gen is carried out using loss functions from discriminator (Dis) to Gen. For instance, the input object image is denoted as 'a' and the groundtruth image is denoted as 'b'. A random variable 'E' is introduced to reduce the overfitting at the decoder layer. Therefore, outputs of Gen and Dis can be represented as Gen (a,E) and $Dis(a,Gen(a,E))$. With that, the generator loss function can be formulated as,

$$
Genloss(Gen, Dis) = \mathbb{E}_{a,b,E}(-log(Dis(a, Gen(a, E)))) + \n\gamma \mathbb{E}_{a,b,E}(L1loss(b, Gen(a, E))) + \varphi \mathbb{E}_{a,b,E}(jaccloss(b, Gen(a, E)))
$$
\n(19)

where, γ and φ are the factor of weights. Our work considers three losses such as Jaccard loss, L1 loss, and adversarial loss. The reason for adopting three loss functions is that, as the adversarial loss might slow down the learning process so that L1 loss is utilized for preserving the object boundaries and Jaccard loss is utilized for improving the relationship among the original and segmented image.

On the other side, the discriminator Dis composed of four layers such as convolutional, position attention, channel attention, and activation layer respectively for robustly finds the generated images into real or fake. The loss function associated with the Dis can be formulated as,

$$
Disloss(Gen, Dis) = \mathbb{E}_{a,b,E}(-log(Dis(a,Z))) +
$$

$$
\mathbb{E}_{a,b,E}(-log(1 - Dis(a, Gen(a, E))))
$$
 (20)

From the Dis_{loss} , the loss of binary entropy can be effectively determined by two mathematical terms such as $-\log(Dis(a, Z))$ (i.e., ground-truth image) and $-\log(1-Dis(a, Gen(a, E)))$ (i.e., predicted image). The optimizer in the Dis performs minimization and maximization of loss function for predicted and ground truth images with classes of 0 and 1 respectively.

The attention modules in the encoder and decoder of Gen is utilized for learning both the high and low level features respectively. The Ch_{att} is utilized for learning the high level features by learning the feature interdependencies. From the features

 $\cup \in \mathbb{K}^{(C*He*Wi)}$, the Ch_{att} generates the channel attention map $\mathbb{X} \in \mathbb{K}^{C*C}$ in which the C,He,and Wi denotes the channel, height, and width of the given image. Utilizing softmax function, the $X \in K^{(C*C)}$ is created as follows,

$$
y_{ji} = \frac{exp(U_i, U_j)}{\sum_{i=1}^{C} exp(U_i, U_j)}
$$
(21)

From the above equation, $U_i U_j$ denotes the transpose of matrix multiplication, y_{ii} denotes the impact of i-th channel on j-th channel. The multiplicative results are reshaped to $\mathbb{K}^{(C*He*Wi)}$ that is again multiplied by χ (a scalar parameters). After that, element wise addition is undergone to provide the output is $E \in \mathbb{K}^{(C*He*Wi)}$ as,

$$
E_j = \chi \sum_{i=1}^{C} (y_{ji} U_i) + U_j
$$
 (22)

The final feature representation is the sum of weights of features of all channels which can provides the semantic dependencies and enhance the decimator functions.

Figure 2. L-GAN based Instance Segmentation

Once, the important features are obtained from the Ch_{att} , the contextual information are obtained by the Po_{att} . In simple words, the Po_{att} encodes the contextual information to local features and represents them to local feature maps $\in \mathbb{K}^{(C*He*Wi)}$.

The feature maps are then provided to the consecutive convolutional layers for generating the other two feature maps that is represented as $(B, C) \in \mathbb{K}^{C*He*Wi}$. The feature maps are then reshaped and fed to softmax layer for generating the spatial feature map that can be formulated as,

$$
SP_{ji} = \frac{exp(B_i, C_j)}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} exp(B_i, C_j)}
$$
\n
$$
(23)
$$

Where, Sp_{ii} refers to j-th spatial position interaction on i-th position. The association among the feature maps is ensured by the softmax layer. For instance, the U is provided to the convolutional layers for generating a new feature map $D \in \mathbb{K}^{C*N}$. The output from the Po_{att} can be computed by multiplying the transpose of Sp_{ji} and D that can be formulated as,

$$
E_j = \xi \sum_{i=1}^{N} (Sp_{ji}D_i) + U_j
$$
 (24)

Where, the scalar constraint is represented as ξ . The Po_{att} output is sum of weight of neighbor features which represents the context information of local features through spatial map representation. Figure 2. represents the diagrammatic view of L-GAN based instance segmentation of objects.

4.3. 3D Object detection and tracking

 $SP_{j1} = \frac{\exp(B_{i},C_{j})}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \exp(B_{i},C_{i})} \eqno{(23)}$ Where, Sp_{j1} refers to j-th spatial position interaction on i-th position. The association among the feature maps is ensured by the softmax layer. For indimendent the ty After segmenting the images, we perform feature extraction from the segmented region for classification of objects. Feature extraction and classification are performed using Hybrid Deep Learning algorithm which consists of YOLOv4 and VGG16 algorithms. YOLOv4 is mainly implemented to increase the classification speed and detect small objects. VGG16 is implemented to increase detection accuracy. In this proposed, we extract numerous features such as spatial, temporal, textural, visual, and auditory features using VGG16, and classification is performed using YOLOv4 which provides four classes such as ground, vehicles, pedestrians, and obstacles. Figure 3. represents the process of 3D object detection.

4.3.1. Feature extraction-VGG 16

The segmented image from the LGAN of input size 224×224 is provided with R, G, and B channels. The input size of the image is reduced for every pixel for achieving the desired results. Once, the images are passed over the ReLU activations, the resultant image is provided to the stack of two consecutive convolutional layers with area size of 3×3 and have 64 filters. The image is processed at 1 pixel padding and convolutional stride is also at 1 pixel. The two consecutive layer preserves the spatial resolution with pooling of two pixel of window size 2×2 , so that the activation window size is reduced to half.

The output activation function from the first stack of convolution is then provided to second stack of activation and convolutional layers in which the activation

layer 128 filters with size of $56\times56\times128$, and three convolutional layers has 256 filter with size of $56\times56\times256$. In similar manner, the filter in the consecutive three layers is increased to 512 and convolution layer size is reduced to of $28\times28\times512$, $14\times14\times512$, and $7 \times 7 \times 512$. The output images from the final max pooling layer are then provided to the 3 fully connected layer in which the first two fully connected layers composed of 4096 channels and last one layer has 1000 channels. Finally, the softmax layer provides the feature vectors of the segmented images that includes feature representation vectors of features such as spatial, temporal, textural, visual, and auditory features which can be represented as,

$$
Fe = \begin{bmatrix} Fe_1 \\ Fe_2 \\ Fe_3 \\ Fe_4 \\ Fe_5 \end{bmatrix}
$$
 (25)

where, $Fe₁$, $Fe₂$, $Fe₃$, $Fe₄$ and $Fe₅$ represents the features such as spatial, temporal, textural, visual, and auditory respectively.

4.3.2. Object classification – YOLOv4

to the 3 fully canoneles layer in which the first two fully cannete
alongs composed in the 3 fully canonical stress that the first translation of 4096 channels and last one layer has 1000 channels. Finally, the softmax la The extracted feature vectors are then provided to the YOLOv4. The YOLOv4 is developed by improving the YOLOv3 form improving the speed, detailed, and stable results. The YOLOv4 composed of backbone network, neck, and head for processing the input features and provides the desirable output. To be clearer, the proposed work used backbone network as Cross Scale Partial Darknet-53 (CSPDarknet-53), the neck structure used are Spatial Pyramidal Pooling (SPN) and Path Aggregation Network (PAN), the head structure has YOLOv3 for enabling speedy object classification.

The extracted feature vectors are provided to the CSPDarknet-53 for representing the deep features using five ResNet blocks. The ResNet blocks composed of fifty-three convolutional layers of sizes 3×3 and 1×1 with connection to batch normalization, and mesh activation layer respectively. For reducing the computation complexity, the conventional ReLU is substituted with the leaky ReLU. The represented features from the CSPDarknet-53 are then provided to the neck that consist of SPN and PAN. The SPN composed of several maxpooling layers of different sizes such as 13,9, and 5 to normalize the features sizes through cross minibatch normalization. The normalizes features are provided to the PAN for continuously extracting the features in repeated fashion in top down and bottom down approach. The extracted deep features, are finally provided to the YOLO head. The proposed YOLO head utilized YOLOv3 for object detection with the size of 76×76 to detect and classify the object of varying sizes. The classification result can be represented as,

$$
YOLOv4_{Head} = \begin{cases} Ground \\ Vehicles \\ Pedestrians \\ Obstacles \end{cases}
$$
 (26)

Finally, loss of the YOLOv4 is computed which consist of three losses such as object classification, localization, and offset loss respectively. The formulation of loss function is computed below,

$$
L^{oss} = \Xi_1 L^{cl} + \Xi_2 L^{loc} + \Xi_3 L^{con}
$$
\n
$$
(27)
$$

Where, L^{cl} , L^{loc} , and L^{con} states the classification, localization, and confidence loss respectively. The Ξ_1 , Ξ_2 , and Ξ_3 are the balancing factors of the respective loss functions. The formulation of individual loss functions can be formulated as,

$$
L^{cl} = -\Sigma_{i \in box} \Sigma_{j \in class}(objln(pr_{ij}) + (1 - obj)ln(1 - pri_j)
$$
 (28)

$$
L^{loc} = 1 - InOU(Pre, GnT) + \frac{d_{Pre, GnT}^2(Pre_{cen}, GnT_{cen})}{\iota^2} + \delta
$$
 (29)

$$
L^{con} = -\Sigma (ob_i ln(pr_i) + (1 - ob_i)ln(1 - pr_i))
$$
\n(30)

 $\label{eq:2.1} \begin{split} YOLO\epsilon_{Mmed} &= \begin{cases} POLO\epsilon_{Mmed} & POLO\epsilon_{Mmed} \\ \end{cases} Pcolzstrains \end{split} \tag{26} \begin{minipage}[t]{.5em} \begin{minipage}[t]{.5em} \begin{minipage}[t]{.5em} \begin{minipage}[t]{.5em} \begin{minipage}[t]{.5em} \begin{minipage}[t]{.5em} \end{minipage}[t]{0.5em} \begin{minipage}[t]{.5em} \end{minipage}[t]{0.5em} \begin{minipage}[t]{.5em} \end{minipage}[t]{0.5em} \begin{min$ From the eqn (28), pr_{ij} and ob_{ij} represents the i-th object class in the boundary box prediction i. From eqn (29), InOU is the intersection over union, Pre,GnT denotes the predicted and ground truth results respectively, $Pre_{cen}GnT_{cen}$ defines the center point euclidean distance, and δ denotes the facet ratio. From the eqn (30), δb_i represents whether there is any object in the bounding box [0,1], and pr_{ij} probability of the object in the bounding box.

4.3.3. Object tracking-IKF

After classification of objects, tracking is performed only for moving objects by considering RFID, unique ID, dimension, and orientation using Improved Unscented Kalman Filter (IUKF) which reduces the variance and tracks the objects with high accuracy by considering the object's velocity and location. Time-based mapping is performed by considering previous and current time, location from the RFID to increase the tracking reliability.

At a 3D plane, let us consider the detected object at the previous stage is moving at a uniform speed. The state of the moving object is denoted as $d[u]$ with time u. The position of the object in 3D plane time u is denoted as $pos_x[u], pos_y[u], pos_z[u]$. The detected bound box aspect ratio asp[u], height hei[u],

object velocity $vel_x[u], vel_y[u], vel_z[u]$, location of the object loc[u], and its unique id $obj[ID_u]$. The complete details are denoted as,

$$
d[u] = (pos_x[u], pos_y[u], pos_z[u], vel_x[u], vel_y[u], vel_z[u], asp[u], hei[u], loc[u], obj[ID_u])^T \in \wedge^{10}
$$
\n(31)

The state of an object at time $u+1$ can be formulated as,

$$
d[u+1] = Fd[u] + Ng\Psi[u] \tag{32}
$$

The state of an object at the n+1 can be formulated as,
 $asply, h e(iu), obj(1D_{v})]^T \in \wedge^{10}$

Where, F denotes the transfer matrix for the previous object state, Ng is the

noise matrix, and $\Phi[\mu]$ represents the noise vector o Where, F denotes the transfer matrix for the previous object state, Ng is the noise matrix, and $\Psi[u]$ represents the noise vector of a system at time u. Based on the mentioned object motion model, the IUKF algorithm is utilized for object state tracking. At first, the sigma points group are constructed as,

$$
\Gamma_{i} = \begin{cases} \bar{d}[u] + (\sqrt{(\dim_{sv} + \Upsilon)err[u]}, i = 1, 2, ..., L \\ \bar{d}[u] - (\sqrt{(\dim_{sv} + \Upsilon)err[u]}, i = L + 1, ..., 2L \\ \bar{d}[u], \end{cases}
$$
(33)

Where, err[u] represents the covariance error matrix, dim_{sv} is the state vector dimension, and Υ is the distance parameter of sigma points. The sigma points are substituted to the non-linear equation that can be formulated as,

$$
y_i = h(\Gamma_i), i = 0, 1, ..., 2dim_{sv}
$$
\n(34)

From the y, mean and variance are computed that can be formulated as follows,

$$
\bar{y} \approx \Sigma_{i=0}^{2dim_{sv}} W_i^{(m)} y_i \tag{35}
$$

$$
err_{\mathbf{y}} \approx \Sigma_{i=0}^{2dim_{sv}} W_i^{(c)} (y_i - \bar{y}) (y_i - \bar{y})^T
$$
\n(36)

The computation of $W_i^{(m)}$, and $W_i^{(c)}$ is provided as follows,

$$
W_0^{(m)} = u/(dim_{sv} + u)
$$
\n(37)

$$
W_0^{(c)} = u/(dim_{sv} + u) + (1 + \tau^2)
$$
\n(38)

$$
W_i^{(m)} = W_i^{(c)} = u/[2(dim_{sv} + u)], i = 1, ..., 2dim_{sv}
$$
\n(39)

In order to regularize the UKF, the correctness factor Γ^* is introduced to improve the UKF. The adoption of Γ[∗] reduces the chance of wrong measurements during object tracking. The formulation of Γ^* in the proposed IUKF can be provided as,

$$
\Gamma^* = \bar{d}[u] + u(y_u - \hat{y}_{\bar{u}})
$$
\n(40)

Once the correction is completed, state of the object can be formulated as,

$$
f = min(\bar{y}_{u+1} - \bar{y}_{u+1})^T (\bar{y}_{u+1} - \bar{y}_{u+1}), ob_{lm} \le \tau \le ob_{up}
$$
 (41)

where, ob_{lm} is the lower limit of the moving object, and ob_{up} is the upper limit of the moving object. The tracking continues until the maximum number of steps $(u+1)$. The pseudocode denotes the IUKF based 3D object tracking.

Algorithm 2 Pseudocode for IUKF Object Tracking

- 1: Input:Detected Object with Bounding Box
- 2: Output:Object Tracking
- 3: Begin
- 4: Initialize the object tracking model (31)
- 5: Formulate the object consecutive states (32)
- 6: //IUKF based Object Tracking//
- 7: for all detected objects do

```
8: Construct the sigma points \Gamma_i (33)
```
- 9: Compute the $y_i \rightarrow h(.)$ (34)
- 10: Compute mean and variance (35) (36)
- 11: Compute $W_i^{(m)}$ and $W_i^{(c)}$ (37) (38)
- 12: Regularize $UKF \to \Gamma^*$ (40)

```
13: Obtain object state (41)
```
14: Track until $(u+1)$

```
15: end for
```
16: End

Pseudocode explanation

Step 1: The Object detected with bounding boxes will be given as input.

- 4: Initialize the object tracking model (31)

5: Formulate the object consecutive states (32)

7: for all detected object roascentive states (32)

7: for all detected objects do

8: Compute may and wright (33)

9: Compute Step 2: Initialize the object tracking model in 3D Plane with the complete details of Position pos, velocity vel, aspect ratio asp, height hei, location loc, unique id obj[ID].
	- **Step 3:** The State of an object with time $u+1$ will be formulated with noise matrix Ng, transfer matrix from the previous state F, noise vector $\Psi[u]$ at time u.
	- Step 4: After all the objects has been detected, construct the sigma points group with error matrix err[u], dimension and the distance.
	- **Step 5:** Substitute the values of sigma points to non-linear equation Y_i .

Step 6: From Y_i , Calculate mean and variance \bar{y} and err_y

- **Step 7:** Compute the value of $W_i^{(m)}$, and $W_i^{(c)}$, which is calculated during the findings of mean and variance.
- Step 8: The correctness factor Γ^* is given to reduce the chance of wrong measurements during tracking.

Step 9: After Correction, state of object can be formulated.

Step 10: Tracking continues until the maximum number of steps reached $(u+1)$.

5. Experimental results

This section provides the detailed view of simulation, implementation, and comparative results. For diminishing the readers difficulty, separate sections are provided for simulation and implementation results, dataset description, comparative results, and summary.

5.1. Simulation setup

The proposed Hybrid Deep Learning based Multi Object Detection and Tracking (HDL-MODT) is simulated using MATLAB tool of version R2020a. The simulation results show that, the proposed work outperforms than the existing work. The proposed simulation is packaged with pre-processing, segmentation, classification, and tracking. To achieve better performance, some of the system configurations must be adjusted. The adjusted system configurations are mentioned in Table 2. Furthermore, the simulation results of the proposed work also provided in the Figure 4a–d.

Table 2 System configurations

results slow that, the propose[d](#page-22-0) with pre-proximis than the ceizing work, The pre-
posed simulation is padaged with pre-proximing, sigmontation, classification, and
tracking, 10 calities better performance, some of the syst a) b) c) d)

5.2. Dataset description

nongour institute (K11 11) ontasis. This fordate [11] ontasis the magnet momentum is the control in the second to the sensor in the second by the sensor is one million. The frames provided for testing and training is 7518 The performance of the proposed work is evaluated by performing quantitative and qualitative experiments using Karlsruhe Institute of Technology and Toyota Technological Institute (KITTI) dataset. This dataset [\[11\]](#page-30-13) holds the images from 3D LIDAR and stereo RGB camera from the autonomous vehicles. The capturing of LiDAR frames by using an LiDAR sensor named HDL-64E. The points generated by the sensor is one million. The frames provided for testing and training is 7518 and 7481 respectively. The proposed work divides the dataset as 25(%) for validation and 75(%) for training. The dataset contains 52,979 labels with nine categories such as 'don't care objects', 'miscellaneous', 'tram', 'sitting person', 'truck', 'van', 'cyclist', 'pedestrian', and 'car'.

5.3. Comparative analysis

This sub-section explains the comparative results proposed HDL-MODT with existing works such as PointTrackNet [\[26\]](#page-31-12), and STR-ODT [12] respectively. The validation metrics taken such as accuracy, precision, recall, f-score, and computation. The brief explanation of the proposed comparative results are defined below.

5.3.1. Accuracy comparison

Accuracy is defined as the sum of True Positive (TP) and True Negative (TN) to the ratio of sum of TP, TN, False Positive (FP), and False Negative (FN) respectively. The formulation of accuracy is,

$$
ACC = \frac{TP + TN}{TP + TN + FP + FN}
$$
\n
$$
(42)
$$

Figure 5. represents the comparison of accuracy of proposed and existing works with respect to number of frames.

Figure 5. Number of Frames vs Accuracy

From the inference, it is shown that when the number of frames increases the accuracy rate also increases. The reason for such higher increment in accuracy is that, the proposed work utilized hybrid deep learning algorithm named VGG-16 and YOLOv4 for feature extraction and classification respectively. On contrary, the existing work PointTrackNet lacks with extracting optimal features and poor classifier for object detection leads to less accuracy. On the whole, the graphical inference shows that, our proposed work achieves higher accuracy than the existing works.

The numerical results show that, the proposed work achieves higher accuracy of 98(%) when the frames increased to 30 whereas the existing works PointTrackNet and STR-ODT achieves lesser accuracy of 90% and 82% respectively. Overall, the proposed work achieves higher accuracy of $8(\%)$ -16(%) than the existing works.

5.3.2. Precision comparison

The precision is defined as the ratio of TP to the sum of TP and FP respectively. In other words, its also define how precisely the proposed work classifies and tracks the objects. The formulation of precision is provided as below,

Figure 6. Number of Frames Vs Precision

Figure 6. shows the comparison precision with proposed and existing in terms of number of frames. The precision rate increased with increase in number of frames. Among that our proposed work achieves higher precision than the existing works. The reason for such higher precision rate is that, the proposed work performs improved deep learning based segmentation named LGAN based instance segmentation, and IUKF based object tracking. In IUKF based objects, the detected moving objects are tracked by considering metrics such as velocity, location, RFID, dimension, and unique

ID. Furthermore, the proposed work also utilized time-based mapping to precisely track down the objects. The existing work lacks with less precision rate, as they were not performing segmentation which increase the higher false positive rates. In addition to that, the existing object tracking feature was not plausible that also affects the precision in object tracking.

The numerical results show that, the proposed work achieves higher precision of 95(%) when the frames increased to 30 whereas the existing works PointTrackNet and STR-ODT achieves lesser precision of 80(%) and 70(%) respectively. Overall, the proposed work achieves higher precision of $5(\%)$ -25(%) than the existing works.

5.3.3. Recall comparison

The recall rate is defined as the ratio of TP to the sum of TP and FN respectively. The proposed work defines the recall rate by computing the amount of positively detected samples. The formulation of proposed recall rate is as follows,

$$
Rec = \frac{TP}{TP + FN} \tag{44}
$$

the presision in object tracking.

The mumerical results show that, the proposed work achieves higher precision

of 95(%) when the frames increased to 30 whereas the existing works PointFirad.Net

and STR-ODT indiceves bi The comparison of recall rate with respect to number of frames for the proposed and existing works is shown in Figure 7. The figure shows that, the recall rate increases with increase in frame rate. The major reason for such higher recall rate is that, the proposed work performs multi stage pre-processing method and thereby the rate of correctly classifying the samples is increased. The proposed pre-processing method firmly increases the performance of accuracy and precision respectively. The existing works PointTrackNet and STR-ODT limits with pre-processing of acquired images thereby they achieve deprived performance on further processes. Hence, the probability of positively classifying the samples is less in the existing works.

Figure 7. Number of Frames Vs Recall

The numerical results show that, the proposed work achieves higher recall rate of 90(%) when the frames increased to 30 whereas the existing works PointTrackNet and STR-ODT achieves lesser recall rate of 75% and 60% respectively. Overall, the proposed work achieves higher recall rate of 20% – 30% than the existing works.

5.3.4. F-Score Comparison

The F-Score is defined as the harmonic mean of precision and recall rates respectively. To be clear, the mathematical illustration of F-Score can be formulated as,

$$
F\text{-}Score = 2 \cdot \frac{Pre \cdot Rec}{Pre + Rec} \tag{45}
$$

5.3.4. F-Score Comparison

The F-Score is defined as the harmonic mean of precision and recall rates respectively.

To be clear, the mathematical illustration of F-Score can be formulated as

The comparison of F-Score r The comparison of F-Score rate of proposed and existing works with respect to number of frames is shown in Figure 8. The figure shows that when the number of frames increases the F-Score rate also increases. From which the proposed work achieves higher F-Score rate than the existing works. As the proposed work performs effective pre-processing, and segmentation respectively.The proposed work adopts L-GAN for segmenting the objects in which it performs instance segmentation to merely classify the objects to reduce the unwanted discrepancies during classification thereby improving the F-Score rate. In contrast, the existing works PointTrackNet and STR-ODT achieves less F-Score rate as they lack with pre-processing by directly provides the images for further process, and also performs ineffective segmentation which reduced the F-Score rate.

Figure 8. Number of Frames Vs F-Score

The numerical results show that, the proposed work achieves higher F-Score rate of 93(%) when the frames increased to 30 whereas the existing works PointTrackNet and STR-ODT achieves lesser F-Score rate of 83% and 73% respectively. Overall, the proposed work achieves higher F-Score rate of $10(\%)$ -20(%) than the existing works.

5.3.5. Computation time

The computation time is defined as the amount of time taken to complete as process. The mathematical formulation of computation time is defined as the ratio of overall computation time to the time taken for computation,

$$
CT = \frac{CT_{Time}}{Ov_{Time}}\tag{46}
$$

where, CT_{Time} is the computation time taken, and Ov_{Time} is the overall computation time.

Figure 9 shows the comparison of computation time of proposed and existing works with respect to number of frames respectively. From the graphical inference, the computation time of proposed work decreases with increase in number of frames. The reason for such less computation time is that, the proposed work adopts multi stage pre-processing and hybrid deep learning based object detection respectively. The object detection is performed using hybrid deep learning algorithm named VGG-16 and YOLOv4 respectively. The proposed process reduces those complexity by increasing the computation time. On the other hand, the existing works PointTrack-Net and STR-ODT gains with higher computation time as they lack with effective pre-processing and classification respectively thereby time for computation was high.

Figure 9. Number of Frames Vs Computation Time

The numerical results show that, the proposed work achieves lesser computation time of 30s when the frames increased to 30 whereas the existing works PointTrackNet and STR-ODT achieves higher computation time of 38s and 44s respectively. Overall, the proposed work achieves lesser computation time of 8s-14s than the existing works.

5.4. Research summary

The summary of the experimental results section is provided in this supplementary section. The proposed work composed of sequential processes such as multi stage pre-processing, instance segmentation, and 3D object detection & tracking. The simulation of proposed work is carried out using MATLAB R2020a in which the simulation results are provided in Figure 4.a) $-$ d). The comparative results of the proposed work and existing works in various simulation metrics are shown in Figure $(5) - (9)$. The average simulation results comparison of proposed and existing also shown in Table 3 Some of the major highlights of the proposed work are given below,

- For enhancing the quality of the images (i.e., solid-state LiDAR, pseudo-LiDAR, and RGB-D), we perform multi-stage preprocessing in which noise is removed by A-Fuzzy filter and contrast enhancement using MSO algorithm. In addition, point to voxel conversion is performed for achieving efficient object detection results.
- For improving the viewport of the image by performing image rotation and instance segmentation. The L-GAN algorithm is implemented to perform instance segmentation that maximizes the accuracy of object detection which increases the reliability of tracking.
- For increasing the accuracy and speed of 3D object detection, we perform multiple feature extraction and classification by Hybrid deep learning algorithm which detects the objects with low false positive rate and high speed.
- For increasing the tracking reliability, we implement IUKF filter by considering numerous metrics and performing time-based mapping which increases the reliability of tracking with high accuracy.

pre-processing, instance segmentation, and 3D object detection $\&$ tracking. The simulation of proposed work is carried out using MATLAB R2020a in which the simulation results are provided in Figure 4.a) – d). The comparative results of the proposed work and existing works in various simulation metrics are shown in Figure $(5) - (9)$. The average simulation results comparison of proposed and existing also shown in Table 3 Some of the major highlights of the proposed work are given below, • For enhancing the quality of the images (i.e., solid-state LiDAR, pseudo-LiDAR, and RGB-D), we perform multi-stage preprocessing in which noise is removed by A-Fuzzy filter and contrast enhancement using MSO algorithm. In addition, point to voxel conversion is performed for achieving efficient object detection			
results. • For improving the viewport of the image by performing image rotation and in- stance segmentation. The L-GAN algorithm is implemented to perform instance segmentation that maximizes the accuracy of object detection which increases the reliability of tracking. • For increasing the accuracy and speed of 3D object detection, we perform multiple feature extraction and classification by Hybrid deep learning algorithm which detects the objects with low false positive rate and high speed.			
• For increasing the tracking reliability, we implement IUKF filter by consider- ing numerous metrics and performing time-based mapping which increases the reliability of tracking with high accuracy.	Table 3 Average Comparison of Proposed vs Existing		
Metrics	HDL - MODT	PointTrackNet	STR - ODT
Accuracy $(\%)$	57.57	48	38.72
$Precision(\%)$	50	41.142	32.71
$Recall(\%)$	40.71	33.072	23
$F\text{-}Score(\%)$	$55.5\,$	$46.93\,$	$38.22\,$

Table 3 Average Comparison of Proposed vs Existing

6. Conclusion

High false positive rates, high computation time, and less QoS are the major issues in the 3D object detection and localization. So that, we tend to resolve that issue by proposing HDL-MODT method. The proposed work adopts KITTI dataset for training and testing the classifiers. Initially, the images captured from the RGB-D

is provided for instances segmentation using LCAN in which positions and channel track in the input images. The fixed images are then provided for object detection, classification, and tracking. The fixed images are then cameras and Solid-State LiDAR are pre-processed in multi stages. The proposed work performs three stages of pre-processing such as noise removal using A-Fuzzy, contrast enhancement using MSO, and point to voxel conversion respectively. The pre-processed image is fused to improve the image quality. Secondly, the fused image is provided for instance segmentation using L-GAN in which position and channel attention are adopted for segmenting the possible objects in the input images. The fused images are then provided for object detection, classification, and tracking. The VGG-16 is utilized for feature extraction which extracts the optimal features such as spatial, temporal, textural, visual, and auditory features. The extracted features are represented in form of feature vectors. The feature vectors are provided as an input to the YOLOv4 classifier for object detection and classification task which classifies the objects into four classes such as ground, vehicles, pedestrians, and obstacles and tow categories as static and moving objects. For the moving objects, we perform tracking using IUKF algorithm based on metrics such as RFID, unique ID, location, dimension, and velocity. The time based mapping is also performed to enhance the tracking accuracy. The simulation of proposed work is carried out using MATLAB R2020a simulation tool and performance of the proposed work is validated by considering metrics such as accuracy, precision, recall, F-score, and computation time.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank the reviewer(s) for their scholarly comments and suggestions. The authors also express their gratitude to the Editor-in-Chief (Jacek Kitowski), the Editor, and the Editorial Office Assistant(s) of this journal for managing this manuscript.

References

- [1] Bai J., Li S., Huang L., Chen H.: Robust detection and tracking method for moving object based on radar and camera data fusion, IEEE Sensors Journal, vol. 21(9), pp. 10761–10774, 2021.
- [2] Bashar M., Islam S., Hussain K.K., Hasan M.B., Rahman A., Kabir M.H.: Multiple object tracking in recent times: A literature review, arXiv preprint arXiv:220904796, 2022.
- [3] Bescos B., Campos C., Tard´os J.D., Neira J.: DynaSLAM II: Tightly-coupled multi-object tracking and SLAM, IEEE robotics and automation letters, vol. 6(3), pp. 5191–5198, 2021.
- [4] Chiu H.k., Li J., Ambru¸s R., Bohg J.: Probabilistic 3d multi-modal, multi-object tracking for autonomous driving. In: 2021 IEEE international conference on robotics and automation (ICRA), pp. 14227–14233, IEEE, 2021.
- [5] Choi H., Jeong J., Choi J.Y.: Rotation-Aware 3D Vehicle Detection from Point Cloud, IEEE Access, vol. 9, pp. 99276–99286, 2021.
- [6] Fan Y.C., Yelamandala C.M., Chen T.W., Huang C.J.: Real-time object detection for lidar based on ls-r-yolov4 neural network, Journal of Sensors, vol. 2021, pp. 1–11, 2021.
- [7] Farag W.: Kalman-filter-based sensor fusion applied to road-objects detection and tracking for autonomous vehicles, Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part I: Journal of Systems and Control Engineering, vol. 235(7), pp. 1125–1138, 2021.
- [8] Huang C., He T., Ren H., Wang W., Lin B., Cai D.: Obmo: One bounding box multiple objects for monocular 3d object detection, IEEE Transactions on Image Processing, vol. 32, pp. 6570–6581, 2023.
- [9] Jiang P., Ergu D., Liu F., Cai Y., Ma B.: A Review of Yolo algorithm developments, Procedia computer science, vol. 199, pp. 1066–1073, 2022.
- [10] Kim A., Osep A., Leal-Taix´e L.: EagerMOT: 3D Multi-Object Tracking via [Sensor Fusion,](https://arxiv.org/abs/2104.14682) CoRR, vol. [abs/2104.146822104.14682,](https://arxiv.org/abs/2104.14682) 2021. https://arxiv.org/ [abs/2104](https://arxiv.org/abs/2104.14682).14682. 2104.[14682.](2104.14682)
- [11] KITTI DataSet, https://universe.roboflow.com/sebastian-krauss/kitti-9amcz/ [DATASET/2.](https://universe.roboflow.com/sebastian-krauss/kitti-9amcz/DATASET/2)
- [12] Koh J., Kim J., Yoo J.H., Kim Y., Kum D., Choi J.W.: Joint 3d object detection and tracking using spatio-temporal representation of camera image and lidar point clouds. In: Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence, vol. 36, pp. 1210–1218, 2022.
- [13] Lee E., Nam M., Lee H.: Tab2vox: CNN-based multivariate multilevel demand forecasting framework by tabular-to-voxel image conversion, Sustainability, vol. 14(18), p. 11745, 2022.
- and tracking for autonomous vehicles, Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Dayineces, Part I. Journal of Systems and Control Engineering, vol. 235(7), pp. 1125–1138, 2021.

[8] Hung C., He T., He H., Wang W., Lin [14] Liu Z., Cai Y., Wang H., Chen L., Gao H., Jia Y., Li Y.: Robust target recognition and tracking of self-driving cars with radar and camera information fusion under severe weather conditions, IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems, vol. 23(7), pp. 6640–6653, 2021.
	- [15] Luo C., Yang X., Yuille A.: Exploring simple 3d multi-object tracking for autonomous driving. In: Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF international conference on computer vision, pp. 10488–10497, 2021.
	- [16] Nabati R., Harris L., Qi H.: Cftrack: Center-based radar and camera fusion for 3d multi-object tracking. In: 2021 IEEE Intelligent Vehicles Symposium Workshops (IV Workshops), pp. 243–248, IEEE, 2021.
	- [17] Pal S.K., Pramanik A., Maiti J., Mitra P.: Deep learning in multi-object detection and tracking: state of the art, Applied Intelligence, vol. 51, pp. 6400–6429, 2021.
	- [18] Pang Z., Li Z., Wang N.: Simpletrack: Understanding and rethinking 3d multiobject tracking. In: European Conference on Computer Vision, pp. 680–696, Springer, 2022.
	- [19] Park D., Ambrus R., Guizilini V., Li J., Gaidon A.: Is pseudo-lidar needed for monocular 3d object detection? In: Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision, pp. 3142–3152, 2021.
- [20] Premachandra C., Ueda S., Suzuki Y.: Detection and tracking of moving objects at road intersections using a 360-degree camera for driver assistance and automated driving, IEEE Access, vol. 8, pp. 135652–135660, 2020.
- [21] Qian R., Lai X., Li X.: 3D object detection for autonomous driving: A survey, Pattern Recognition, vol. 130, p. 108796, 2022.
- [21] Quan K, Lat A.; 10 Orget dietestron for antisomnosis driving: A survey,
 $Paltern, Resonpath, vol. 130$, p. 108796, 2022.

222 Shreyas E., Sheth M.H., et al.: 3D object detection and tracking methods using

develocing for exponent [22] Shreyas E., Sheth M.H., et al.: 3D object detection and tracking methods using deep learning for computer vision applications. In: 2021 International Conference on Recent Trends on Electronics, Information, Communication & Technology (RTEICT), pp. 735–738, IEEE, 2021.
	- [23] Simonelli A., Bulò S.R., Porzi L., Kontschieder P., Ricci E.: Are we missing confidence in pseudo-lidar methods for monocular 3d object detection?, arXiv preprint arXiv:201205796, 2020.
	- [24] Wang B., Zhu M., Lu Y., Wang J., Gao W., Wei H.: Real-time 3D object detection from point cloud through foreground segmentation, IEEE Access, vol. 9, pp. 84886–84898, 2021.
	- [25] Wang K., Liu M.: YOLOv3-MT: A YOLOv3 using multi-target tracking for vehicle visual detection, Applied Intelligence, vol. 52(2), pp. 2070–2091, 2022.
	- [26] Wang S., Sun Y., Liu C., Liu M.: Pointtracknet: An end-to-end network for 3-d object detection and tracking from point clouds, IEEE Robotics and Automation Letters, vol. 5(2), pp. 3206–3212, 2020.
	- [27] Wang Y., Guizilini V.C., Zhang T., Wang Y., Zhao H., Solomon J.: Detr3d: 3d object detection from multi-view images via 3d-to-2d queries. In: Conference on Robot Learning, pp. 180–191, PMLR, 2022.
	- [28] Wang Y., Wang C., Long P., Gu Y., Li W.: Recent advances in 3D object detection based on RGB-D: A survey, Displays, vol. 70, p. 102077, 2021.
	- [29] Wang Y., Yang B., Hu R., Liang M., Urtasun R.: PLUMENet: Efficient 3D object detection from stereo images. In: 2021 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS), pp. 3383–3390, IEEE, 2021.
	- [30] Wen L.H., Jo K.H.: Fast and accurate 3D object detection for lidar-camera-based autonomous vehicles using one shared voxel-based backbone, IEEE access, vol. 9, pp. 22080–22089, 2021.
	- [31] Xie X., Cheng G., Wang J., Yao X., Han J.: Oriented R-CNN for object detection. In: Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF international conference on computer vision, pp. 3520–3529, 2021.
	- [32] Zhao X., Sun P., Xu Z., Min H., Yu H.: Fusion of 3D LIDAR and camera data for object detection in autonomous vehicle applications, IEEE Sensors Journal, vol. 20(9), pp. 4901–4913, 2020.

Affiliations

Dheepika PS

Nehru Memorial College, (Affiliated to Bharathidasan University), Department of Computer Science, Tiruchirapalli 621007, India, [psdheepika@gmail](psdheepika@gmail.com).com

Umadevi V

Umadevi V. Monarda College, (Affiliated to Blanckhilessa University), Department of Computer Science, Truckinegalli 621007, India, you'll 1999 epositions
Beneck Truckinegalli 621007, India, you'll 1999 epositions
Recepted: Nehru Memorial College, (Affiliated to Bharathidasan University), Department of Computer Science, Tiruchirapalli 621007, India, yazh1999@gmail.com

Received: 07.07.2023 Revised: 26.04.2024 Accepted: 26.04.2024